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Abstract—Wiring inductance has a critical effect on electrical, thermal, and electromagnetic compatibility (EMC) performances in inverters. Therefore, the low stray inductance laminated busbar, as a state-of-the-art pathway interface, is widely used in high-power inverters. However, the asymmetrical stray inductances of the laminated busbar may cause different voltage and thermal stresses for semiconductor devices of the same power stage. In order to achieve low and symmetrical stray inductances in the laminated busbar, this paper presents the investigation, evaluation, and optimization of the stray inductances of the laminated busbar. Based on the current commutation loop (CCL) analysis, the investigation of two-layer, three-layer, and multilayer laminated busbars is proposed through three-dimensional (3-D) finite element analysis (FEA) simulations. It can be found that the skin effect, mutual effect, CCL length, CCL separation distance, and split plate significantly influence the stray inductance of laminated busbars. Furthermore, the multilayer laminated busbar structure for multilevel neutral point clamped (NPC) inverter is derived. On the basis of the theoretical analysis, CCL stray inductances of a three-layer laminated busbar are extracted by means of FEA simulation and an improved impedance resonant measurement in a single-phase H-bridge high-power inverter. Insulated gate bipolar transistor (IGBT) voltage overshoot waveforms further validate the stray inductance asymmetry of the laminated busbar. A compromise between the stray inductance and symmetry is proposed in the three-layer laminated busbar. Finally, several feasible guidelines for the optimization of the laminated busbar design are introduced.

Index Terms—Current commutation loop (CCL), finite element analysis (FEA), impedance resonant, laminated busbar.

I. INTRODUCTION

Due to the short turn-off time of insulated gate bipolar transistor (IGBT), the large voltage overshoot occurs across IGBT in high-power inverters. As a result, the high voltage stress may exceed the safe operating area (SOA) of semiconductor devices, ultimately causing the destruction of semiconductor devices. Consequently, the low stray inductance laminated busbar, a type of state-of-the-art pathway interface, is widely applied to connect dc-link capacitors and semiconductor devices. The current commutation loop (CCL) stray inductance is related to dc-link capacitors, semiconductor devices, connection screws, and laminated busbar. In terms of the stray inductances associated with three former components, the value of stray inductances are intrinsic that cannot be easily reduced. Therefore, this paper mainly focuses on the reduction of the stray inductances of the CCL.

The low stray inductance laminated busbar can effectively restrain the voltage overshoot, electromagnetic interference (EMI), system resonance problems, and switching losses for high-power converters [1]–[8]. Several planar laminated busbar designs have been presented in different applications. For example, the laminated busbar for single-phase/three-phase voltage source inverter, three-phase three-level neutral point clamped (NPC) inverter, and MVA-level H-bridge cascade multilevel inverter have been studied in [8]–[16].

The laminated busbar has been modeled by numerical analytical simulation tools, such as finite element analysis (FEA) [7], [8], [15], partial element equivalent circuit (PEEC) [12]–[14], [16], and measurement-based methods like time-domain reflectometry (TDR) [17]. The FEA method solves the Maxwell’s differential field equations to obtain the stray parameters. The PEEC method divides the large size conductor into an appropriate number, resulting in fast simulation efficiency and less computer memory configurations. The TDR method requires the special hardware (TDR/sampling head) and software, in which the complicated experimental measurements are desired. Therefore, the FEA and PEEC methods are commonly used in the laminated busbar modeling.

Due to the high resolution requirements of measuring equipment, the direct measurement of stray inductance always exists unpredictable errors. In order to verify the modeling accuracy, some indirect measurement methods were used. A method based on the switch voltage overshoot \( \Delta V \) and \( di/dt \) was presented, but this calculated result was the stray inductance of the whole circuit CCL [3], [15]. Another method is proposed to utilize the resonant capacitor to calculate the stray inductance [1], [8], [13]. However, due to the induced stray inductances (equivalent series inductance (ESL) of resonant capacitor, the stray inductances of connected copper strips and wires, etc.) were not considered, such kind of method results in more than 12% errors. This paper will introduce an improved step-by-step impedance resonant method, which can effectively weaken the influence of induced stray inductances.

Due to different converter topologies and power-levels, the mechanical layout structures of the laminated busbar are of great difference. To get the optimal design result, the laminated...
busbar and converter topologies, should be fully considered. Unlike only one CCL existing in the buck converter [1], [3], there are more than one CCLs in the single-phase, three-phase, and multilevel inverters. Because of the asymmetry in spatial arrangements, components layouts, and laminated busbar structures, CCLs with asymmetrical stray inductances easily occur in the laminated busbar. As a result, additional snubber circuit and heat-sink are required to restrain the dissymmetrical voltage and thermal stresses. Hence, the stray inductance symmetry of CCLs deserves special considerations.

Several laminated busbars were designed and used in high power applications. In [3], [6], and [7], one CCL was discussed without the stray inductance symmetry consideration for a single-phase inverter. Reference [14] proposed a four-layer laminated busbar with multiple CCLs in a three-phase NPC three-level inverter. For design, the laminated busbar obviously has asymmetrical CCL stray inductances 78 and 208 nH, respectively. In [12] and [15], the asymmetry of CCL stray inductances also existed in NPC three-level inverters. The asymmetrical stray inductances of CCLs lead unequal voltage spikes to semiconductor devices. In order to obtain symmetrical CCL stray inductances, [18] and [19] used holes and apertures to equalize the stray inductances of CCLs. Unfortunately, this method increased stray inductances both of two CCLs. In [8], a tradeoff optimum three-layer laminated busbar was proposed by modifying the busbar structure, which achieved two symmetrical stray inductances of CCLs.

In this paper, the investigation, evaluation, and optimization of laminated busbar CCL stray inductance are presented. In Section II, asymmetrical CCLs are analyzed in a single-phase H-bridge inverter. Then, considering the skin effect, mutual effect, CCL length, CCL separation distance, and split plate, the investigation of two-layer, three-layer, and multilayer laminated busbars are introduced in Section III. In Section IV, the stray inductances of a three-layer laminated busbar are extracted by means of FEA simulation and an improved step-by-step impedance method in a single-phase H-bridge high-power inverter. Then, IGBT voltage overshoot measurements further verified the CCL stray inductances asymmetrical. Moreover, a compromised laminated busbar design scheme between the stray inductance and symmetry is proposed in the three-layer laminated busbar in Section V. Finally, a summary is given in Section VI.

II. STRAY INDUCTANCES OF CCLS IN CIRCUIT

Fig. 1 describes turn-off transients of four IGBTs in a typical single-phase H-bridge inverter. Taking stage 1 turn-off process as an example, the load current $I_L$ commutates from $T_1$ to $T_2$ freewheeling diode (FWD) after receiving the gate turn-off signal. The turn-off process of $T_1$ can be simply divided into three stages as depicted in Fig. 1(a)–(c), and the relevant waveforms are sketched in Fig. 1(d). The operation processes are briefly discussed in the following.

*Stage 1 [see Fig. 1(a)]:* At $t_0$, $T_1$ receives the turn-off signal. After a short turn-off delay time, the voltage $v_{T1}$ rises, and the current $i_{T1}$ remains equal to the load current $I_L$ during this stage.

*Stage 2 [see Fig. 1(b)]:* Once voltage $v_{T1}$ reaches the dc supply voltage $V_{dc}$, $i_{T1}$ decreases and $i_{T2}$ rises at the same rate of $di_{T2}/dt$. Meanwhile, a voltage overshoot $V_{p1}$ occurs across $T_1$ due to the circuit stray inductance, and the voltage overshoot $V_{p1}$ is given as

$$V_{p1} = V_{dc} + l_1 \frac{di_{T1}}{dt}$$

(1)

where $l_1$ is the stray inductance of CCL1. In addition, the CCL consists of dc-link capacitors, $T_1$, the FWD of $T_2$, and laminated busbar, as depicted in CCL1 in Fig. 1(b). The stray inductance $l_1$ of CCL1 is composed by

$$l_1 = l_s + l_{busbar1}$$

(2)

where $l_s$ is the sum of dc-link capacitor ESL, two IGBT stray inductances, and connecting screw stray inductances; $l_{busbar1}$ is the stray inductance of the laminated busbar. $l_{busbar1}$ can be divided into two parts $l_{busbar1}$ and $l_{busbar1}$ in Fig. 1(b), which are concerned with the laminated busbar structure and components layout.

*Stage 3 [see Fig. 1(c)]:* After $t_2$, $i_{T1}$ decreases to zero, and $v_{T1}$ keeps at $V_{dc}$. In this stage, because of the stray inductance $l_1$, the voltage oscillation often occurs, leading to the increase of the voltage stress and losses [2].

Similarly, turn-off processes of $T_2$ are described in Fig. 1(e)–(h). The CCL composed of dc-link capacitors, laminated busbar, $T_2$, and $T_1$ FWD is also obtained in Fig. 1(f). The same voltage overshoot and the stray inductance can be expressed as (1) and (2), respectively.

However, turn-off processes of $T_3$ and $T_4$ shown in Fig. 1(i)–(p) are different from $T_1$ and $T_2$ for the different CCL2, as depicted in red color. This CCL has a different stray inductance $l_2$ for the dissimilar laminated busbar stray inductance $l_{busbar2}$, leading to the overshoot voltage as

$$V_{p2} = V_{dc} + l_2 \frac{di_{T2}}{dt}$$

(3)

where $l_2$ is the stray inductance of CCL2, as shown in Fig. 1(j) and (n). Also, the stray inductance $l_2$ of CCL2 can be given as

$$l_2 = l_s + l_{busbar2}$$

(4)

where $l_{busbar2}$ is the sum of $l_{AB2}$ and $l_{AD2}$.

From (2) and (4), two CCL total stray inductances of dc-link capacitors, semiconductor devices, and connection screws have the same amount $l_s$. Therefore, the asymmetry of two CCL stray inductances is mainly caused by different CCL stray inductances $l_{busbar1}$ and $l_{busbar2}$ of the laminated busbar.

III. INVESTIGATION OF LAMINATED BUSBARS

Due to different inverter topologies, laminated busbars are designed into different layers. These CCLs with different laminated busbar layers are investigated in this section.

On the basis of the physical structure layout, the laminated busbar model is built in the FEA software Ansoft Maxwell 2-D/3-D [20]. The principle of this tool is based on Maxwell’s
differential field equations, thus it can accurately calculate stray parameters for complex laminated busbar structures [21].

A. Two-Layer Laminated Busbar

A two-layer laminated busbar with the CCL (the dotted line) is shown in Fig. 2. The model consists of two copper planar busbars and an air-insulating layer, and laminated busbar dimensions are $a = 400$ mm, $b = 400$ mm, $T = 2$ mm. Under the certain busbar dimensions, only the length and insulation thickness of CCL significantly affect the loop stray inductance. The CCL length along $y$-direction is $s$ and the CCL insulation thickness along $z$-direction is $d$. The stray inductance of CCL in terms of $s$ and $d$ can be obtained by varying these two parameters.
in the optimetrics analysis. Meanwhile, the skin effect needs to be taken into account. In the high-frequency spectrum, the conductor current is not uniformly distributed over the entire cross sectional area of the laminated busbar, but rather tends to be concentrated near the surface. The inductance varies with frequency as a result of the skin effect: it decreases at higher frequency because the flux linkage between conductor regions decreases. Taking into account the high frequency current skin distribution effect, according to the IGBT turn-off fall time, the skin effect depth is set as follows:

$$\delta = \sqrt{\frac{\rho}{\pi f \mu_0}} = 0.0809 \text{ mm} \quad (5)$$

where the turn-off fall time of the test bench is $1.5 \ \mu\text{s}$, so $f$ is equal to $667 \ \text{kHz}; \ \rho$ is the resistivity of copper, and $\mu_0$ is the magnetic permeability. Fig. 3 depicts the Ansoft simulation model of a two-layer laminated busbar with CCL length $s$ and insulation thickness $d$, and Fig. 4 shows the $L-s$ and $L-d$ optimetrics analysis curves.

Fig. 4(a) shows the $L-s$ simulation curve when the CCL length $s$ varying from 25 mm to 150 mm, and Fig. 4(b) shows the $L-d$ simulation curve with the insulation thickness $d$ varying from 1 to 4 mm. From coordinates of point m1 and m2, the slope of the curve can be measured as shown in Fig. 4. When $s$ increase by one times from m1 ($s = 75$ mm) to m2 ($s = 150$ mm), the stray inductance increases from 5.2 to 7 nH. Meanwhile, when $d$ increase by one times from m1 ($d = 2$ mm) to m2 ($d = 4$ mm), the stray inductance increases from 10 to 18 nH. Based on the Ansoft optimetrics analysis simulation results, the larger the loop length $s$ and insulation thickness $d$ is, the larger the CCL stray inductance $L$ is, and two conclusion points can be gained as:

1) when the CCL length $s$ increases by one times, the CCL stray inductance $L$ increases by 0.3 times, as shown in Fig. 4(a);

2) when the insulation thickness $d$ increases by one times, the CCL stray inductance $L$ increases by 0.8 times, as shown in Fig. 4(b).

Simulation results above results indicate that the stray inductance is significantly influenced by the CCL length and insulation thickness. Furthermore, the insulation thickness plays a more important role than the CCL length for the stray inductance. Therefore, a low stray inductance laminated busbar design should have close components layout and thin insulation layer.

### B. Three-Layer Laminated Busbar

Because of different converter topologies, three-layer and multilayer laminated busbars are inevitably employed in high-power inverters. The three-layer laminated busbar is made up of three conducting plates with two dielectric layers, which is partly different from the two-layer laminated busbar. Regarding of the layout of the one more layer, there are two possible stacking structures of the three-layer laminated busbar, as depicted in Fig. 5:

1) the third layer is stacked inside of the two-layer laminated busbar [see Fig. 5(a)];

2) the third layer is stacked outside of the two-layer laminated busbar [see Fig. 5(b)].

From these two structures, two basic CCLs (where the basic CCL is formed by any two planar busbar layers) CCL1 and CCL2 of the three-layer laminated busbar can be obtained in Fig. 5. Furthermore, the $L-s$ and $L-d$ optimetrics analysis simulation models and curves of two CCLs are shown in Figs. 6 and 7, respectively. According to two coordinates of point m1 and m2 in the simulation curve, it is noticeable that the increasing rate of $L-s$ and $L-d$ curves are the same as that of the two-layer laminated busbar, which are 0.3 times and 0.8 times. Thus, the one more layer has no help to decrease the CCL stray inductance rising rate compared with the two-layer laminated busbar. However, if the two-layer laminated busbar has the same separation distance as the three-layer laminated busbar, it can be found that the stray inductance of CCL1 decreases by about 2.8% comparing inductances of point m1 and m2 in two $L-d$ curves [see Figs. 4(b) and 7(b)]. This is caused by the induced current of the third layer, as shown in Fig. 8(a) (the green color arrow with the scale of $10^8 \ \text{A/m}^2$). This induced current decreases the stray inductance for the mutual effect. In Fig. 8(b), the induced unidirectional current is closed at infinity under this stacking structure. Obviously, the skin effect can be observed from the uniform induced current distribution around the lower surface of the third layer in the XZ plane view.

Furthermore, because the third layer increase the separation distance of CCL2 from $d = 2$ mm in two-layer to $(2-d+T) = 6$ mm in three-layer, the stray inductance of
CCL2 increases 111% [see Figs. 7(d) and 4(b)]. Whereas if the two-layer laminated busbar has the same separation distance \(2d+T\) as CCL2, the stray inductance of CCL2 will have 12.8% lower than that of two-layer busbar with the \(2d+T\). The reduction of the CCL stray inductance is due to the large induced current of the third layer as shown in Fig. 9 (the blue color arrow with the scale of \(10^6\) A/m²). This induced current is mainly caused by other two layers, via holes, and connecting screws. Moreover, the upper and lower surfaces of the third layer form a closed induced current loop under this laminated busbar stacking order as shown in Fig. 9(b).

Generally speaking, one CCL of a three-layer laminated busbar have these two basic CCLs in an inverter bridge, as shown in Fig. 10. \(S_1\) and \(S_2\) is the current commutation length of two CCLs, respectively. Fig. 10(a) consists of two basic CCL1, and Fig. 10(b) has two basic CCLs—CCL1 and CCL2—in one loop. In Fig. 10(a), the CCL can be totally treated as the basic CCL1 with length \((S_1 + S_2)\) because of the small errors less than 6%. This small error is caused by the weak magnetic field coupling that can be neglected. The CCL [see Fig. 10(b)] is called as mixed CCL in here, which is formed by two basic CCLs—CCL1 and CCL2. The CCL length and the separation distance...
between layers in the mixed CCL of the three-layer laminated busbar are discussed in the following.

In order to investigate the mixed type CCL, a normalized three-layer laminated busbar CCL stray inductance $L_{\text{three-layer}}$ as function of $S_1$ and $M$ is expressed as

$$\frac{L_{\text{three-layer}}}{L_{\text{two-layer}}} = f(S_1, M) \quad (6)$$
where $L_{\text{three-layer}}$ is the stray inductance of mixed CCL of three-layer laminated busbar; $L_{\text{two-layer}}$ is the inductance of a two-layer laminated busbar with the same CCL length $(S_1 + S_2)$ and separation distance $d$ as CCL1; $M$ is equal to $S_2/S_1$. Fig. 11 shows the simulation result of the mixed CCL stray inductance in terms of $M$ and $S_1$. When $M \ll 1$, it indicates that $S_2$ is short enough to be neglected, $L_{\text{three-layer}}/L_{\text{two-layer}}$ is small. The CCL stray inductance $L_{\text{three-layer}}$ decreases rapidly for the dominant low stray inductance part of the basic CCL1. When $S_2/S_1 \geq 3$, $L_{\text{three-layer}}$ stabilizes at the maximum value for the predominant component of the basic CCL2, which conspicuously increases the stray inductance of the mixed CCL in the three-layer laminated busbar. Consequently, the basic CCL2 on one hand should not exceed 3 times of the basic CCL1, on the other hand maximize the proportion of the basic CCL1 to reduce the CCL stray inductance. Another conclusion can be summarized that simulation curves move upward due to the reduction of the nonlinear magnetic coupling.

Although the three-layer laminated busbar with the basic CCL1 has a positive effect on the stray inductance reduction, the one more layer raises the laminated busbar cost. Additionally, the three-layer laminated busbar with the basic CCL2 increases the busbar stray inductance. Hence, the best laminated busbar structure is the two-layer one that places IGBTs and dc capacitor bank departed into two sides presented in [7]. However, because of the limitations of IGBT packages (single-switch or dual-switch), dc-link capacitor types (metal film or electrolytic), and converter topologies (single-phase or three-phase), these side-by-side laminated busbar structures cannot be extensively applied to the high-power inverter applications.

### C. Multilayer Laminated Busbar

The multilayer laminated busbar is required for that the current flows through multiple semiconductor devices in multilevel inverters. For example, the long CCL composes four semiconductor devices and six connecting nodes in the three-level NPC inverter [14], [15].
The published papers have discussed several laminated busbar applications used in different topologies. Thus, considering the layers, CCLs, split plates (several plates are placed side-by-side in the same layer), semiconductor device numbers, and topologies, the laminated busbars can be summarized in Table I.

The yellow color block represents one IGBT or diode, and the green color dotted line shows the red dotted line CCL depicted in the circuit.

Among these various laminated busbar structures, it can be deduced that the number of layers is related with the connecting...
nodes of different converters. The Buck converter, half-bridge, and single-phase/ three-phase H-bridge inverter have three connecting nodes (two dc-input nodes and one output node), as shown in [1], [3], [6], and [8]. Thus, the three-layer laminated busbar is utilized. Especially, as shown in [7], the dc inputs are placed side-by-side, so a two-layer laminated busbar is used for the reduced layer structure; as shown in [13], the output is connected by wires, so a two-layer laminated busbar with the output layer removed. Although [7] and [13] reduced layer and cost, these unique structures have narrow applications and may increase the CCL stray inductance. A balance between the cost and inductance is needed.

In multilevel inverters, several busbar planar plates are placed side-by-side in the same layer to reduce the multilayer laminated busbar layers in multilevel NPC inverters such as the outputs copper plates and dc inputs copper plates. This split plate can immensely reduce the laminated busbar cost. However, the split plate width should not be too short, and the FEA simulation of a two-layer laminated busbar with split plate demonstrates it, as shown in Fig. 12 (a = 400 mm, b = 400 mm, T = 2 mm). The stray inductance simulation results as the function of upper planar busbar width d and CCL (blue dotted line) length s are shown in Fig. 13. When d is larger than 200 mm, L raises slowly with the increase of s. Conversely, the L-s curve rises rapidly. Furthermore, the simulation results indicate that:

1) the longer CCL length s is, the larger stray inductance L will be;
2) the shorter width d is, the larger stray inductance L will be;
3) the plate width cannot be too short and d should be longer than 40%–50% of the busbar width, which means that one layer has two side-by-side split plates at most and best.

Although the structure of multilayer laminated busbar is related to many factors, following conclusions can be concluded for the multilayer laminated busbar design. For an n-level NPC inverter, there are the dc inputs and IGBT connecting nodes can use split layers connecting, which have (n–1) layers; output phase and neutral clamping layers are whole and intact planar busbar, which have (n–1) layers. So, there are totally 2(n–1) layers for an n-level NPC inverter, as three-level inverter shown in [14] and [15] (see Table I). Based on the result, taking a five-level NPC inverter as an example, the longest CCL in an eight-layer laminated busbar can be derived as shown Fig. 14. The phase output layer A and neutral clamping layer N₁, N₂, and N₃ are whole planar busbar layer, and IGBT connecting layers are 4 split plate layers.

For the CCL flowing through multiple semiconductor devices, the mixed CCLs are complex in the multilayer laminated busbar, which consists of more than three basic CCLs in one loop, as shown in Table I (one blue dotted circle represents one basic CCL). In order to get symmetrical CCL stray inductances, it is needed to augment the low inductance basic CCL and reduce the high inductance basic CCL by modifying busbar layers stacking order and semiconductor devices layout. Furthermore, the modification can get symmetrical stray inductances of CCLs.

**IV. THREE-LAYER LAMINATED BUSBAR EXAMPLE**

**A. Three-Layer Laminated Busbar**

A three-layer laminated busbar is designed to connect four IGBTs (Infineon FZ2400R17KF6 C B2) in a 75kVA single-phase H-bridge inverter. The photo and the structure of the laminated busbar are shown in Figs. 15 and 16, respectively. Fig. 16 depicts the physical structure of the three-layer laminated busbar. The laminated busbar is composed of three layers: the negative dc input bus (layer A), the positive dc input bus (layer B), and two ac outputs (layer C and D are located at the same layer).

Fig. 17 shows the current flowing paths of two CCLs in the laminated busbar, as analyzed in Section II. Assuming that the load current I₄ is negative, the CCL1 [see Fig. 1.16] inside of the laminated busbar is illustrated in Fig. 17(a) during T₂ turn-off transients. The negative load current I₄ is divided into two currents, T₁ FWD current i₁T₁ flows from layer C to layer B, and T₂ current i₁T₂ flows from layer C to layer A. From the current paths, it shows that the CCL1 contains two CCL stray inductances lₐ₁B₁ and lₐ₁C₁. When T₄ is turned off, the current flowing path of CCL2 [see Fig. 1.17] is shown in Fig. 17(b). Likewise, the CCL2 contains two CCL stray inductances lₐ₂B₂ and lₐ₂B₃. The positive load current I₄ consists of T₃ FWD current i₄T₃ and T₄ current i₄T₄. Furthermore, CCL1 is the type of basic CCL as analyzed in three-layer laminated busbar while CCL2 is the type of mixed CCL. Accordingly, the CCL1 has a lower stray inductance than CCL2 based on the conclusion in Section III.
Fig. 14. Eight-layer laminated busbar for five-level NPC inverter with longest CCL.

Fig. 15. Three-layer laminated busbar.

Fig. 16. Structure of the three-layer laminated busbar: (a) the top view and (b) the 3-D view.

B. Three-Layer Laminated Busbar FEA Modeling

Fig. 18 shows two CCL simulation models (IGBTs are replaced by copper strips) of the three-layer laminated busbar. The dimensions of the layout are 536 × 282 × 12.8 mm³. After necessary solver settings, the stray inductance is extracted from resistance and inductance (RL) matrix and the stray inductances of two loops can be obtained as: $L_{\text{loop1}} = 13.554 \text{ nH}$, $L_{\text{loop2}} = 20.7750 \text{ nH}$. The simulation results point out that the stray inductances of two CCLs are asymmetrical in the three-layer laminated busbar, which confirms the analysis in Section II.

V. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS OF THREE-LAYER LAMINATED BUSBAR

A. Impedance Resonant Measurement-Method

To further verify the asymmetry of CCL stray inductances in the three-layer laminated busbar, an accurate step-by-step
measurement method based on the impedance resonance is proposed in this section. Fig. 19 shows the schematic for the stray inductance extraction method. A 3 μF polypropylene film capacitor \( C \) and a 50 Ω current limiting resistor \( R \) are connected to the laminated busbar with IGBT short-circuit copper strips. The capacitor and stray inductances form an impedance resonant series circuit. \( l_{esl} \) is the ESL of capacitor \( C \), \( l_{strip} \) is the stray inductance of short-circuit copper strip, \( l_{busbar} \) is the CCL stray inductance of laminated busbar, and \( l_s \) is the other circuit parasitic inductance (connecting wires and ESL of \( R \)). A variable frequency sinusoidal voltage signal is injected by Agilent33210 A signal generator. The detail stray inductance extraction processes are described as follows.

**Step 1:** The ESL of capacitor \( C \) is first measured by an independent test as shown in Fig. 19(a). When the measured voltage \( V_c \) reaches the minimum value by adjusting the frequency of the input signal, the following relationship can be obtained:

\[
\frac{1}{2\pi f_a C} = 2\pi f_a l_{esl}
\]  

where \( f_a \) is the resonant frequency of step 1.

**Step 2:** The short-circuit copper strip is series with the capacitor \( C \) and resistor \( R \) as shown in Fig. 17(b). While the capacitor voltage \( V_c \) is equal to the short-circuit copper strip voltage \( V_{strip} \) by varying the frequency of the input signal, the relationship is given as

\[
V_C = \left( \frac{1}{2\pi f_b C} - 2\pi f_b l_{esl} \right) \cdot i
\]

\[
V_{strip} = 2\pi f_b l_{strip} \cdot i
\]

\[
V_C = V_{strip}
\]  

(8)

where \( f_b \) is the resonant frequency of step 2. Hence, the following impedance relationship can be given:

\[
\frac{1}{2\pi f_b C} - 2\pi f_b l_{esl} = 2\pi f_b l_{strip}
\]  

(9)

Equation (9) illustrated that the capacitor impedance is equal to the short-circuit copper strip inductive impedance.

**Step 3:** Fig. 17(c) shows the laminated busbar installed with short-circuit copper strips equivalent circuit. Adjust the input signal frequency, until the voltage \( V_c \) across the capacitor is equal to the laminated busbar voltage \( V_{bus} \). As the one IGBT module has three short-circuit copper strips, the following impedance relationship can be expressed as:

\[
\frac{1}{2\pi f_c C} - 2\pi f_c l_{esl} = \frac{4}{3} \pi f_c l_{strip} + 2\pi f_c l_{busbar}
\]  

(10)

where \( f_c \) is the resonant frequency of step 3.

From (7)–(10), the CCL stray inductance of the laminated busbar can be derived as

\[
l_{busbar} = \frac{1}{4\pi^2 f_c^2 C} - \frac{1}{6\pi^2 f_b^2 C} - \frac{1}{12\pi^2 f_a^2 C}.
\]  

(11)
TABLE II
INDUCTANCE MEASUREMENT AND SIMULATION RESULTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>( L_{\text{meas}}(\text{nH}) )</th>
<th>( L_{\text{sim}}(\text{nH}) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Measurement</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>20.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simulation</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>20.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The measured voltage–frequency curves of three steps are shown in Fig. 20. When the capacitor voltage \( V_c \) reaches the minimum value by varying the frequency of input signal source in step 1, the frequency \( f_a \) at this time is 600 kHz as shown in Fig. 20(a). In step 2, the capacitor voltage \( V_c \) (the gray line with triangle marker) and the short-circuit copper strip voltage \( V_{\text{strip}} \) (the black line with circle marker), as shown in Fig. 20(b), have the equivalent value at the frequency \( f_b = 300 \) kHz. Two CCLs stray inductance are measured in step 3 as shown in Fig. 20(c) and (d). The frequency \( f_c \) of the capacitor voltage \( V_c \) (the gray line with triangle marker) and the two busbar voltage \( V_{\text{bus1/2}} \) of CCLs (the black line with circle marker) are 300 and 290 kHz, respectively.

Applying (11), the stray inductance of two CCLs can be obtained in Table II. It can be seen that the induced inductance \( l_s \) does not affect the measuring results, and two CCL stray inductances measuring results are 14.2 and 20.78 nH, which have a good agreement with the Ansoft simulation results in Section IV. Thus, the measurement results demonstrate high accuracy of this improved method.

B. Overvoltage Across IGBTs

From the above stray inductance measurement results, the stray inductances of two CCLs are unequal. From the point of view of CCL stray inductances in the inverter circuit, these dis-symmetrical stray inductances cause that IGBTs of the larger stray inductance CCL sustaining higher voltage and thermal stresses than that of the other CCL. Fig. 21 shows the experimental results of IGBT voltage overshoot waveforms in two CCLs. The IGBT voltage overshoot of CCL1 is higher than CCL2 about 10 V in unloaded condition and 40 V with 60 kW load, which verifies the stray inductance asymmetry of two CCLs in the three-layer laminated busbar.

VI. DISCUSSION

Based on the investigation of the laminated busbar, a compromised optimum laminated busbar structure scheme of the
proposed three-layer laminated busbar is proposed by FEA simulations in this section.

In view of the three-layer laminated busbar analysis results in Section III, the reasons why the analyzed busbar has the asymmetrical CCL stray inductance can be shown in Figs. 22 and 23. It can be seen that the asymmetrical CCL stray inductance is resulted from the device asymmetric layout (see the length of green and blue line in Fig. 22), as well as the asymmetric current loop separation distance between two layers (see the blue regions in Fig. 23). The $P_1$ and $P_2$ regions of CCL1 have the same separation distance $H_1$ between two layers, but the $P_4$ region of loop2 has a 2 times separation distance $H_2$ between layer A and layer D. Since the weakness asymmetric of IGBT layout, the effect of CCL length can be neglected. Moreover, while CCL1 is the basic CCL1 with a low stray inductance ($l_{AB1} + l_{BC1}$), CCL2 is the mixed CCL with a large CCL stray inductance ($l_{AB2} + l_{AD2}$) for $S_2 > S_1$. As a result, the stray inductance of CCL2 is larger than CCL1.

In order to balance the stray inductances of two CCLs, a tradeoff optimal design scheme is proposed by changing the stacking order of layer B and layer CD as shown in Fig. 24. Thus, Two CCLs are both the mixed CCL2, which have the same length and separation distance. Although the separation distance of $P_1$ region in the optimized CCL1 is longer than the original one, the separation distance of $P_4$ region in the optimized CCL2 is tremendously reduced. Meanwhile, the rise of CCL1 stray inductance is very small. Therefore, the optimized busbar structure decreases the stray inductance of CCL2 and makes the stray inductance of two CCLs equivalent. As shown in Table III, the simulation results indicate well symmetry of two CCLs.
TABLE III
ORIGINAL AND OPTIMIZED LAMINATED BUSBAR SIMULATION RESULTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Original three-layer busbar</th>
<th>Optimized three-layer busbar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$L_{\text{loop}}$ (nH)</td>
<td>$L_{\text{loop}}$ (nH)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>20.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VII. CONCLUSION

In this paper, analytical CCL shows new feature that the laminated busbar stray inductance results in the CCL stray inductance asymmetry. Ansoft FEA simulation is utilized to investigate the two-layer, three-layer, and multilayer laminated busbars. Considering topologies and physical structures, the CCL stray inductances of different layer laminated busbar are proposed and analyzed. It is shown that the skin effect, mutual effect, CCL length, CCL separation distance, and split plate significantly influence the stray inductance of laminated busbar. Moreover, the multilayer laminated busbar is introduced for multilevel NPC inverters.

Based on the investigation results, the CCL stray inductances of a three-layer laminated busbar, which is used in a high power single-phase H-bridge inverter, are extracted by means of the FEA simulation and an accurate step-by-step impedance resonant measurement-method. Furthermore, IGBT voltage overshoot waveforms validate the stray inductances asymmetry of the laminated busbar. A compromise scheme between the stray inductance and symmetry for the three-layer laminated busbar is proposed. In summary, a low and symmetrical stray inductance laminated busbar has following design guidelines:

1) laminated busbar CCL insulation distance should be designed as short as possible under the premise of insulation requirements;
2) laminated busbar layers should be placed as close as possible under the premise of thermal and temperature requirements;
3) laminated busbar CCLs should be designed symmetrically by modifying semiconductor device layout and stacking order.
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