Accurate Statistical-Based DDR4 Margin Estimation using SSN Induced Jitter Model

Hee-Soo LEE, Keysight Technologies Cindy Cui, Keysight Technologies Heidi Barnes, Keysight Technologies Luis Bolunas, Keysight Technologies











HEESOO LEE

SI/PI/EM Application Engineer, Keysight Technologies EEsof EDA hee-soo_lee@keysight.com



Cindy Cui

Application Engineer, Keysight Technologies EEsof EDA cindy_cui@keysight.com





DDR4 Highlights

Highlights:

- $\circ~$ Lower VDD voltage and Pseudo-Open Drain (POD) reduced power consumption by 40%
- o Internal VREF training performed within the IC receiver to optimize VREF level. Retraining at regular intervals
- $\circ~$ Data lines are calibrated at the IC to reduce their skew to the strobe
- Data bus inversion (DBI)

Specification	DDR2	DDR3	DDR4
Voltage	1.8 V	1.5 / 1.35 V	1.2 V
Per Pin Data Rate (Mbps)	400-1066	800-2133	1600-3200
Channel Bandwidth (GBps)	3.2-8.5	6.4-17	12.8-25.6
Component Density	512 MB–2 GB	1-8 GB	2-16 GB

Image Source: Micron Technology



DDR3 Push-Pull

DDR4 Pseudo-Open Drain





UBM

Timing Margin vs. BER

 Requires new specs beyond traditional timing margin:

 $_{\rm O}$ Higher data rate – reduced UI and smaller margin

- Reduced VDDQ to achieve power consumption spec
- $_{\circ}$ Timing margin is eroded by ISI and RJ
- Adding a safety margin creates over-engineered solutions

Shrinking Timing Margins in Picoseconds Package / Board Margin Chip Margin -Data Valid Window DRAM Margin 2,500 Package/ DRAM Data Valid Chip Board Window Margin Margin Margin DDR1 2.500 900 800 800 DDR2 938 256 425 256 DDR3 469 188 140 140 DDR4 313 125 93 93 938 469 313 DDR1 DDR2 DDR3 DDR4 3,200 Mbps 400 Mbps

Image Source: Altera





Δ

New JEDEC DQ Specification

- Receiver requirements defined by masks instead of setup / hold and DC voltage swings
- Bit Error Rate (BER) Specification:
 - $_{\rm o}$ Simpler definition of DRAM requirements and system design
 - $_{\odot}$ Bit Error Rate (BER) spec recovers timing and noise margin
 - $_{\circ}$ Eliminates troublesome slew rate derating
 - Jitter includes the sum of deterministic and random jitter terms for a specified BER
 - $_{\odot}$ The design specification is BER < 1e^{-16}
- How many bits for 1e⁻¹⁶ BER?
 - $_{\odot}$ 10 quadrillion bits (1/ 1e⁻¹⁶), equivalent to 125,000 Peta Bytes





Symbol	Parameter	1600,1866,2133		2400		2666,3200		Unit	NOTE
Symbol	cymse.		max	min	max	min	max	Unit	NOTE
VdIVW_total	Rx Mask voltage - p-p total	-	136 (note12)	-	tbd	-	tbd	m∨	1,2,4,6
VdI∨W_d∨	Rx Mask voltage - deterministic	-	136	-	tbd	-	tbd	m∨	1,5,13
TdIVW_total	Rx timing window total	-	0.2 (note12)	-	tbd	-	tbd	UI*	1,2,4,6
TdI∨W_dj	Rx deterministic timing		0.2	-	tbd	-	tbd	UI*	1,5, 13
VIHL_AC	DQ AC input swing pk-pk		-	tbd	-	tbd	-	m∨	7
TdIPW	DQ input pulse width			tbd		tbd		UI*	8
Tdqs_off	DQ to DQS Setup offset		tbd	-	tbd	-	tbd	UI*	9
Tdqh_off	DQ to DQS Hold offset		tbd	-	tbd	-	tbd	UI*	9
Tdqs_dd_off	DQ to DQ Setup offset		tbd	-	tbd	-	tbd	UI*	10
Tdqh_dd_off	DQ to DQ Hold offset		tbd	-	tbd	-	tbd	UI*	10
SRIN_dI∨W	Input Slew Rate over VdIVW_total	tbd	9	tbd	tbd	tbd	tbd	V/ns	11

NOTE :

 Data Rx mask voltage and timing total input valid window where VdI/W is centered around Vcent_DQ(pin avg). The data Rx mask is applied per bit and should include voltage and temperature drift terms. The design specification is BER <1e-16 and how this varies for lower BER is thd. The BER will be characterized and extrapolated if necessary using a dual drare method from a higher BER(tbd).

JESD79-4, page 202



Bit-by-bit (SPICE-Like) vs. Statistical Approach

Bit-by-bit (SPICE-Like, Transient) Approach

- $_{\odot}$ Bit-by-bit simulation takes too long to run for 10 quadrillion bits
- At least, 1 million bits (1e⁻⁶) is required to do jitter separation and predict eye opening accurately using Dual-Dirac extrapolation with Bit-by-bit approach
- $_{\odot}$ Example: 4587 seconds for a simple DQ test case

Statistical Approach (13 seconds, 350X faster)

- Statistical calculation for DQ and DQs eye probabilities at ultra low BER in seconds not days without running an actual bit sequence
- $_{\circ}~$ No need for risky dual-Dirac extrapolation
- $_{\circ}$ Example: 13 seconds for the simple DQ test case







JAN 31-FEB 2, 2017

UBM

Simultaneous Switching Noise (SSN)

- SSN noise is generated when all drivers switch concurrently with fast rising/falling edge
- Two primary SSN mechanisms are:
 - $_{\circ}$ Crosstalk
 - Mutual coupling from aggressor signals to victim
 - Delta-I noise due to the inductance of both power and ground plane
 - The switching current on both power and ground planes induces a fluctuating voltage drop, by L * di/dt.
 - The voltage drop is proportional to the inductance and switching speed



UBM





Delta-I Noise With Statistical Approach

Assumptions made in statistical approach

- Statistical methodology assumes the system to be LTI (Linear Time Invariant)
- The amplitude and jitter noise by crosstalk and ISI are well taken care of by the statistical approach

Dilemma:

- Delta-I induced amplitude and jitter noise are time variant, so they are not taken into consideration with the statistical approach
- For the ultra-low BER value, 1e⁻¹⁶, the statistical approach is required

1. Transient analysis to get an impulse response of channel, TX, and RX



2. Statistical analysis with the statistical distribution of a conceptually infinite non-repeating bit pattern





UBM

Solution - Mask Correction Factor (MCF)

Definition of MCF:

- The difference of eye height and eye width, one with and the other without delta-I noise contribution
 - Eye height difference Amplitude noise correction factor
 - Eye width difference Jitter noise correction factor
- Usage of MCF:
 - Apply to the mask data to compensate delta-l induced noise for the statistical analysis
 - Correct the eye height and eye width value at a certain BER level



time, psec







UBM

MCF Extraction Procedure

Steps to extract MCF:

- Run Transient simulations on two cases, one with PDN and the other without PDN.
- Find the eye height and eye width values at the expected BER level respectively
- Extract the mask correction factor by subtracting the values of these two cases for the amplitude and jitter MCF

Note for PDN model:

 Higher frequency model to avoid any extrapolation errors and accurately model the switching speed

Amplitude MCF	Jitter MCF
25 mV	19 ps



measurement

3.Summary 3.771E-10 0.423

measurement	BSummary
WidthAtBER	3.958E-10
HeightAtBER	0.448



10

UBM

Relationship Between MCF and # of DQ Lines

Total current draw vs. number of DQ lines

- If the bit pattern on each of 64 DQ lines is identical, the total current draw from the source will increase linearly proportional to the number of DQ lines included. But in real case, the bit pattern is random, so it doesn't have the linear relationship
- Extract MCF with all 64 DQ line running by the non-identical bit patterns

Cases with 4,8,12,16,20,24,28,32,36,48, and 64 DQs DQ lines







time, nsec







Test Example for MCF vs. # of DQ Lines

Transmitter

- 64 PRBS with a different seed value
- 64 "kintexu.ibs" Power-Aware IBIS models

Results

- Amplitude correction factor:
 - 49 mV with 64 DQs and 24 mV with 16 DQs
- Jitter correction factor:
 - 25 ps with 64 DQs and 6 ps with 16 DQs











With PDN 64 Lines



=: 0P3040





JAN 31-FEB 2, 2017

12 UBM

Receiver 64 Micron "z80a v5p0.ibis model"

Package models included

Solution Validation

Xilinx® KCU 105 FPGA Platform Board

 o Provides a hardware environment for developing and evaluation designs targeting the Ultrascale[™] XCKU040-2FFVA1156E device

 Provides features common to many evaluation systems including DDR4, HDMI, SFP+, PCIE, Ethernet PHY, etc

 $_{\circ}$ 9.27 x 5 inch, 16 layers PCB

DDR4 Memory

- 2GB Micron 4 DDR4 component memory (four [256 Mb x 16] devices)
- o 64 DQ lines between FPGA and DDR4 memory with a single Power Deliver Network



13

UBM





MCF Extraction for KCU105 Board

MCF Extraction

- $_{\circ}$ Pre-layout models used for the channel
- $_{\odot}$ Transient Simulation w/ and w/o PDN on DQ lines with $1e^{6}$ bits
- $_{\odot}$ Significant increase of noise to amplitude and jitter
 - Amplitude, jitter correction factor: 94 mV, 16 ps





Amplitude MCF	Jitter MCF
94 mV	16 ps



Statistical Analysis – KCU105 Board

PCB EM Modeling

- Accurate EM models for PCB, which include channels (DQ, DQs, etc) and PDN
- Include only one I/O Bank (16 bits) for a faster EM model generation assuming minimal crosstalk between I/O banks
- $_{\rm \circ}$ Vendor supplied de-coupling capacitor models

DDR Bus Simulation (Statistical Approach)

 $_{\odot}$ Simulations at two BER level, 1e $^{-8}$ and 1e $^{-16}$

- @ BER = 1e⁻⁸
 - $_{\circ}$ Eye height = 347 mV, Eye width = 356 ps
- @ BER = 1e⁻¹⁶
 - $_{\circ}$ Eye height = 374 mV, Eye width = 348 ps

DQ35 Eye Diagram



measurement	@ 1E-16 BER	@ 1E-8 BER
WidthAtBER	3.479E-10	3.563E-10
HeightAtBER	0.367	0.374



Measurement Setup

Measurement:

- Keysight's DSAV334A Infiniium Oscilloscope
- $_{\circ}$ N6462A DDR4 Compliance Test Application
- $_{\odot}$ Measured on DQ35 at 2400 Mbps speed grade with 109 million bits, which is close to $1e^{-8}$ BER









Measured Data

Measurement at 109million bits:

 $_{\odot}$ Eye Width – 339 ps

 $_{\circ}$ Eye Height – 271 mV

	Measurement Result (@1E ⁻⁸ BER)
Eye Width	339 ps
Eye Height	271 mv





Side-By-Side Comparison

Statistical analysis vs. measured comparison on DQ35 – No correction:

Reasonable agreement

o Larger amplitude and jitter noise with the measured data due to the delta-I noise contribution





Corrected Mask – Still Within Spec!



time, psec

			DDR4 DQ Mask in JEDEC Spec	New DQ Mask After Correction factor
Amplitude MCF	Jitter MCF	Eye Width	0.2 UI	0.23 UI
94 mV	16 ps	Eye Height	130 mv	224 mv





UBM

Eye Height and Width with MCF Applied

• Excellent agreement :

 $_{\odot}\,2\%$ eye width difference on simulation vs. measured @ $1e^{\text{-8}}\,\text{BER}$

 $_{\odot}$ 2.2% eye height difference on simulation vs. measured @ 1e^8 BER

DDR BUS Sim Result @ 1E ⁻¹⁶ BER			DDR BUS Sim Result @ 1E ⁻⁸ BER		Measurement Result	Sim/Measure Difference
	W/O correction factor	With correction factor	W/O correction factor	With correction factor	(@1E ⁻⁸ BER)	
Eye Width	323 ps	307 ps	348 ps	332 ps	339 ps	2%
Eye Height	360 mv	266 mv	371 mv	277 mv	271 mv	2.2%

Compared



Conclusion

- Statistical simulation approach must be used for DDR4 to get an ultra-low BER,1e⁻¹⁶.
- Statistical simulation approach assumes the system to be linear, so the delta-I noise contribution for SSN is ignored
- Proposed solution using the mask correction factor (MCF) improves the accuracy of DDR4 statistical simulation by compensating the delta-I noise contribution
- Simulated results with MCF agree well to the measured data







References

[1] H. Shi, G. Liu, and A. Liu, "Analysis of FPGA simultaneous switching noise in three domains: time, frequency, and spectrum", Proc. DesignCon 2006, Feb. 2006.

- [2] James P. Libous and Daniel P. O'Connor, "Measurement, Modeling, and Simulation of Flip-Chip CMOS ASIC Simultaneous Switching Noise on a Multilayer Ceramic BGA", IEEE Trans on Components Packaging, and Manufacturing Technology, Part B, Vol. 20, No. 3, August 1997.
- [3] Penglin Niu, Fangyi Rao, Juan Wang etc. "Ultrascale DDR4 De-emphasis and CTLE Feature Optimization with Statistical Engine for BER Specification" DesignCon 2015
- [4] JEDEC DDR4 SDRAM Specification_JESD79-4A, NOVEMBER 2013
- [5] Fangyi Rao, Vuk Borich, Henock Abebe, Ming Yan "Rigorous Modeling of Transmit Jitter for Accurate and Efficient Statistical Eye Simulation", DesignCon 2010
- [6] Keysight, "A New Methodology for Next-Generation DDR4 Application Note"
- [7] Ai-Lee Kuan, "Making Your Most Accurate DDR4 Compliance Measurements", DesignCon 2013
- [8] Larry Smith and H. Shi, "Design for Signal and Power Integrity", DesignCon 2007





Thank you!

QUESTIONS?





JAN 31-FEB 2, 2017

